



## NCL Method STE-2.4

### **Detection of Bacterial Contamination using Tryptic Soy Agar Plates and RPMI Suspension Tests for the Purposes of Determining Bacterial ID**

**Nanotechnology Characterization Laboratory**  
Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research  
Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.  
Frederick, MD 21702  
(301) 846-6939  
[ncl@mail.nih.gov](mailto:ncl@mail.nih.gov)  
<https://ncl.cancer.gov>



This protocol assumes an intermediate level of scientific competency with regard to techniques, instrumentation, and safety procedures. Rudimentary assay details have been omitted for the sake of brevity.

Method Written By:

Barry W. Neun

Edward Cedrone

Timothy M. Potter

Marina A. Dobrovolskaia\*

Nanotechnology Characterization Lab, Cancer Research Technology Program, Frederick  
National Laboratory for Cancer Research sponsored by the National Cancer Institute, Frederick,  
MD 21702

\*- address correspondence to: [marina@mail.nih.gov](mailto:marina@mail.nih.gov)

**Please cite this protocol as:**

Neun BW, Cedrone E, Potter TM, Dobrovolskaia MA, NCL Method STE-2.4: Detection of  
Bacterial Contamination using Tryptic Soy Agar Plates and RPMI Suspension Tests for the  
Purposes of Determining Bacterial ID. <https://ncl.cancer.gov/resources/assay-cascade-protocols>

DOI: 10.17917/86Y9-3Y16

## 1. Introduction

This protocol describes a procedure for detection and quantitative determination of microbial contamination in a nanoparticle preparation. Nanoparticle samples, along with controls, are spread on the surface of agar and growth of bacterial colonies is monitored after 72 hr of incubation. Additionally, to detect autotrophic and oligotrophic bacteria which grow in media containing low nutrient levels and may contaminate cell culture after passing rich medium agar plate test, the same nanoparticle samples are spiked into RPMI and incubated up to 7 days. The intended purpose of this assay is to avoid introduction of microbial contamination into in vitro cell cultures and in vivo animal studies utilizing the test-nanomaterial, as microbial contamination will confound the results of these tests. The second goal of this test is to determine bacterial identity in samples found to be positive. The ID results can be helpful in determining the source of contamination. This assay is not intended to certify the nanomaterial as sterile.

## 2. Reagents, Materials, and Equipment

*Note: The NCL does not endorse any of the suppliers listed below; these reagents were used in the development of the protocol and their inclusion is for informational purposes only. Equivalent supplies from alternate vendors can be substituted. Please note that suppliers may undergo a name change due to a variety of factors. Brands and part numbers typically remain consistent but may also change over time.*

### 2.1 Reagents

- 2.1.1 Sterile PBS (Sigma, D8537)
- 2.1.2 Agar (Sigma, 05040-1KG)
- 2.1.3 Tryptic Soy Broth (EMD Millipore 1054595000 or Fischer Scientific DF0370-08-4)
- 2.1.4 RPMI 1640 (ThermoFisher, 11875101)
- 2.1.5 Test nanomaterial
- 2.1.6 Buffer used to reconstitute test nanomaterial
- 2.1.7 Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH) (Sigma, S2770)
- 2.1.8 Hydrochloric acid (HCl) (Sigma, H9892)

## 2.2 Materials

2.2.1 Pipettes, 0.05 to 10 mL

2.2.2 Sterile pipets, 1-10 mL

2.2.3 Sterile tubes, 5 mL

2.2.4 Petri dishes

2.2.5 Bacterial spreader

## 2.3 Equipment

2.3.1 Incubator at 37°C

2.3.2 Vortex

## 2.4 Bacterial ID and strain typing

NCL outsources this procedure to Charles River Lab. The CRL Accugenix technology is used for strain typing. More details about this technology and the service can be found here: <http://www.criver.com/products-services/rapid-micro/accugenix>

## 3. Reagent Preparation

### 3.1 Sodium Hydroxide

Prepare from concentrated stock by dilution into sterile water to make a 0.1 N final concentration solution.

### 3.2 Hydrochloric Acid

Prepare from concentrated stock by dilution into sterile water to make a 0.1 N final concentration solution.

### 3.3 Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB)

Components of this media are:

Bacto™ Tryptone (Pancreatic Digest of Casein) 17.0 g/L

Bacto Soytone (Peptic Digest of Soybean Meal) 3.0g/L

Glucose (=Dextrose) 2.5 g/L

Sodium Chloride 5.0 g/L

Dipotassium Hydrogen Phosphate 2.5 g/L

pH 7.3 ± 0.2

This is supplied as a liquid but can also be purchased as a powder. If you use liquid media, it does not require any additional manipulation. Powdered media must be reconstituted in water. In this case, please follow the instructions from the manufacturer of the powdered media. Sterilize the media you prepare from powdered formula 15 min at 121°C. Cool to room temperature and either use fresh or store in refrigerator.

#### 3.4 Tryptic Soy Broth Agar

Components of the TSB agar are:

Bacto™ Tryptone (Pancreatic Digest of Casein) 17.0 g/L

Bacto™ Soytone (Peptic Digest of Soybean Meal) 3.0g/L

Glucose (=Dextrose) 2.5 g/L

Sodium Chloride 5.0 g/L

Dipotassium Hydrogen Phosphate 2.5 g/L

Agar 15 g/L

This is prepared by dissolving 15g of agar in 1L of TSB media described in section 3.3 or from the commercial powdered formula containing all components in a dry form. After addition of agar to the liquid media, the solution is heated to boiling while stirring to dissolve the powder. The solution is then autoclaved for 15 minutes at 121°C to sterilize. After slight cooling, the TSA is poured into petri dishes (10 mL per 10 cm dish) and allowed to solidify at room temperature. The plates can be used freshly prepared or stored at 4°C. *Note: TSA or TSB agar are also available from ThermoFisher, ATCC and other commercial vendors.*

## 4. Preparation of Controls

### 4.1. Negative Control (NC)

Use sterile PBS or water as a negative control. The negative control is acceptable if no colony forming units (CFU) are observed upon completion of the test.

### 4.2. Positive Control (PC)

For the positive control, use bacterial cultures (e.g. ATCC #25254) at a dilution which will allow at least 10 CFU/mL.

#### 4.3. Inhibition Control

To assess whether nanoparticles can inhibit bacterial growth, a positive control sample at the same final dilution as described in section 4.2 is spiked into the test nanoparticle sample. For example, spike 22 CFU per 2.2 mL of nanoparticle solution at a given dilution and use 1 mL for seeding into a paddle as described in section 6 below. The final inhibition control contains the same concentration of nanoparticles as nanoparticle-unspiked sample and the same concentration of bacteria as in the positive control (10 CFU/mL).

It is important to note, this control will only estimate anti-bacterial properties relevant to bacteria present in the given positive control. The anti-bacterial properties of a nanoparticle formulation are often specific to a given strain or type of bacteria and depend on nuances in the bacterial cell wall organization and other biological processes within bacterial cells. Therefore, if the analysis of anti-bacterial activity is of interest, it is advisable to perform such analysis using bacterial strains that are relevant to the expected type of anti-bacterial activity of the nanoparticle formulation. For example, if a nanoparticle is prepared to inhibit *E. coli* growth, then relevant strains of *E. coli* should be used. If such information is not available, conducting a test using a panel of bacteria representative of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria is recommended.

## 5. Study Samples

The assay requires 5 mL of the test nanoparticle in its final formulation. The concentration of nanoparticles in this formulation is case-specific. Samples are typically tested at stock and at several serial 1:10 dilutions, i.e., no dilution, 1:10, 1:100, 1:1000. When the final formulation is not available, for example when a test nanomaterial is received from a commercial supplier in a form not intended for biomedical applications, prepare a stock solution at a concentration of 1 mg/mL. The weight information can refer to either active pharmaceutical ingredient or total

construct; it can also represent total metal content or other units. Such information is specific to each nanoparticle and should be recorded to aid result interpretation.

Test nanoparticles should be reconstituted in sterile PBS, water or in appropriate vehicle. If vehicle is a buffer or media other than water or PBS, the vehicle control should be included in the test. The pH of the study sample should be checked using a pH microelectrode and adjusted with either sterile NaOH or HCl as necessary to be within the pH range 6-8. If NaOH or HCl are not compatible with a given nanoparticle formulation, adjust pH using a procedure recommended by the nanomaterial manufacturer. To avoid sample contamination from microelectrode, always remove a small aliquot of the sample for use in measuring the pH.

## 6. Experimental Procedure

### A. Agar Plate Test

- 6.1 Remove plates from refrigerator and allow them to warm to room temperature. Prepare two plates for each sample and four plates for negative and positive control. Plate one set of negative and positive control before plating test samples and the second set after plating test samples. Take two unopened plates with agar and place them in the incubator for the entire duration of the study. These plates serve as additional negative controls.
- 6.2 Using sterile conditions, apply 50  $\mu$ L of controls or nanoparticle preparation (at each dilution) onto the surface of the agar and evenly distribute the sample using sterile disposable bacterial spreader. Allow liquid to absorb, then recap the Petri dish, turn it upside down to prevent condensation, and place into the incubator.
- 6.3 Incubate for 72 hr at a nominal temperature of 37°C.
- 6.4 Remove dishes from the incubator and examine for appearance of colonies. Count colonies.
- 6.5 Report results according to the following formula:

$$\# \text{ Colonies} \times \text{Dilution Factor} \times \text{Sampling Factor} = \text{CFU/mL}$$

**Note:** To estimate sampling factor, consider the proportion of the test sample represented by the 50  $\mu$ L test aliquot spread on the plate. For example, if the final

formulation is supplied as a 1 mL aliquot, the sampling factor is 20; if it is 10 mL, the sampling factor is 200; if it is 0.5 mL, the sampling factor is 10, etc.

- 6.6 Plates with visible bacterial colonies are subjected to Accugenix analysis to identify the contaminating bacterial strain(s).

## **B. RPMI Solution Test**

- 6.1 Aliquot 5 mL of RPMI into T25 culture flasks.
- 6.2 Inoculate the media with 100  $\mu$ L of nanoparticle samples.
- 6.3 Incubate at 37°C and observe cultures every 24 hours. The incubation may be terminated on Day 7 if no growth is observed.
- 6.4. If the test result is positive (i.e. if turbidity of the medium is detected), submit the sample for Accugenix analysis to identify the dominant strain in the contamination. Note, this strain may be different from strains growing on TSA agar.

## **7. Interpretation of Results and Acceptance Criteria**

### **A. Agar Plate Test**

- 7.1 A positive control is considered acceptable if it allows identification of at least 10 CFU/mL.
- 7.2 A negative control is acceptable if no colony is detected.
- 7.3 A test sample is considered negative if no colony is detected.
- 7.4 An inhibition control is considered acceptable if it shows no significant difference in CFU number from that observed in the positive control.
- 7.5 A  $\geq 2$ -fold decrease in the number of colonies in the inhibition control sample versus that in the positive control sample suggests the tested nanomaterial has the potential to inhibit bacterial growth. Further investigation including analysis of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) is needed to verify such findings.



## **B. RPMI Solution Test**

- 7.1 A negative control is negative when no change in the turbidity of the medium is observed.
- 7.2 A nanoparticle sample is considered positive when a change in the medium turbidity is detected.

## **8. Abbreviations**

CFU	colony forming units
HCl	hydrochloric acid
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
MIC	minimal inhibitory concentration